3

1F 100

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2903 OF 2003

PETITIONER : Devki Nandan Sharma, S/o . aged about 42 years, occupation – Sub Engineer, Pariyojna Kriyanvayan Ikai, Pradhanmantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Distt Durg, R/o C/o Kailash Chand Dubey (Advocate), In front of Sumit Beauty Parlour, Kasaridih, Distt. Durg (C.G)

P. R. No. 22/5/03
Presented by Shri Aman Kesharwani
dated 12/9/03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

(1) Union of India, through the secretary Karmik Public Complain & Pension Matralay and Karmik & Training Department, New Delhi.

(2) State of Madhya Pradesh, through the Secretary Water Resources Department, Vallabh Bhawan Bhopal M.P.

(3) State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary Water Resources Department D.K.S. Bhanwan Raipur (C.G.)

(4) Commissioner Panchayat & Gramin Vikas Department Raipur (C.G.).

(5) Director, Karmik and Prashikshan Vibhag, New Delhi.

Copy Rec'd 12/9/03 for UOI

RECEIVED COPY. 12/9/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSITITUTION OF INDIA

78

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Writ Petition No. 2903 of 2003

Petitioner — Devki Nandan Sharma

Versus

Respondents — Union of India & others

Shri Sunil Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel with Smt. B. Maithy, Advocate for Respondent No.1 and 5.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for respondents No. 3 and 4.

None for the Respondent No. 2.

ORAL ORDER

(16th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is working on the post of Sub Engineer in Pariyojna Kriyanvayan Ikai, Pradhanmantri Gram Sadak Yojna, in District-Durg of Water Resources Department, is a permanent resident of District- Panna in the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioner has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and he wants his reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of his grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur